

Close Window

U.S. Department of Energy

WEATHERIZATION ANNUAL FILE WORKSHEET (attachment)

II.11 Miscellaneous

DNR/DE began using the NEAT audit on a statewide basis on July 1, 1994. The NEAT audit v 2003. Audit material was submitted in PY 2008 for validation. DNR/DE received DOE audit at

Efforts will be made to have representation of low income persons, particularly elderly, handicapped on the PAC.

Technical Work Group:

The state, working with the Professional Alliance, formed a Technical Work Group. This group handles technical matters. The Technical Work Group is represented by:

Kevin Scherr, DNR/DE

Terry Sanders, Ozark Action, Inc., West Plains

Stephen Boniello, KC Department of Housing & Community Development, Kansas City

Todd Steinmann, Ozarks Area Community Action Corporation, Springfield

The Technical Work Group will make technical recommendations regarding the Missouri Weatherization

Disaster Plan:

Declaration of a disaster for WAP purposes is determined by a Presidential or Gubernatorial or State emergency. It may be the result of natural or man-made factors. It is the responsibility of the Disaster Assistance Program, through its subgrantee network, to assist state and community authorities in recovering from a disaster by providing WAP resources to assist Missouri low-income citizens in recovering from disaster. The Weatherization Program will adhere to the following guidelines when responding to a disaster if the low-income population has been affected. These guidelines are intended to maximize the assistance while protecting the limited resources of the program.

Disaster-mitigation planning activities shall be implemented as soon as practical after the declaration of a disaster. A subgrantee shall not commit WAP resources (labor or financial) until it receives approval from the state.

Any additional disaster-related funds will be allocated based on the extent of the disaster in each area. WAP must supplement, not supplant, other funds available for disaster assistance. All funds received for disaster assistance must be considered prior to the allocation of WAP resources. Attachment 2-3, the Disaster Plan, located at the end of this section, should be completed for each client requesting disaster assistance.

Safety measures, such as levees or other protections, should be in place prior to mitigation activities. WAP should consult with local utilities to ensure electric, gas and sewer hazards have been corrected or repaired.

A. Allowable Disaster Activities

Allowable disaster activities may include debris removal and other clean-up work in preparation for normal weatherization services. If agency staff is assigned to another area of the state to assist the parent subgrantee's responsibility to maintain their salaries and to arrange for agency reimbursement, a priority list is recommended for disaster homes:

Labor for gutting damaged components of the house and debris clean up

Primary heating system replacement and repair (including ductwork repair; replacement, clean and venting, flue and chimney replacement, repair and cleaning)

Domestic water heaters (venting and flues included)

Window and door repair and/or replacement

Air sealing (basic infiltration and exfiltration work)

Attic insulation and ceiling coverage

Wall insulation

Restoration of electrical power (from electrical meter into the house)

Increased incidental and Repair costs (DOE approval must be given)

Specific procedures to weatherize mobile homes under the disaster provisions are found in the Missouri Weatherization Operational Manual

B. Eligibility Requirements

WAP subgrantees must ensure that applicants for disaster assistance (1) meet the current eligibility criteria located within the designated disaster area and (3) have been directly affected by the disaster. Applicants located in a sanctioned area are not eligible for assistance.

Applicant homes must be certified as habitable, and a disaster certification form must be completed and filed with the client file. The owner of a rental unit must list the property with Section 8 or provide other proof of occupancy exclusively for the low-income. A landlord contribution, based on a sliding scale, will be required for the repair or replacement of a furnace or other material, its value can be counted toward the contribution).

Client income eligibility may be based on one month's income. The fuel priority selection criteria apply to disaster clients. Victims needing heating system or water heater repair or replacements will be given priority.

Disaster-damaged homes may be re-weatherized without regard to the initial date of weatherization covered by insurance. Victims may receive repair or replacement to domestic hot water heater or furnace. Repairs to the building structure that exceed the DOE average expenditure per home in the state.

C. Equipment Procurement

All purchased items should meet minimum energy-efficiency ratings as detailed in 10 CFR 440.100 must comply with all requirements specified in 10 CFR Parts 600 and 440, OMB A-87 (if applicable), and the requirements specified in the DNR General Terms and Conditions for Federal Weatherization Operational Program Manual's Competitive Procurement Standards.

D. Additional Funding

If additional funds are received from the department or other fund sources, a subgrantee may :
If no additional funds are received, the state plan cost limits apply. Program operations money
mitigation and clean-up activities. No additional administrative funds will be provided for disast

E. Disaster Expenditures Accountability and Reporting

Disaster expenditures must be accounted for and reported separately from other costs. The m
expenses under "other". The costs will not be included in the averages. Program year budget a
to the DNR/DE within 30 days of the date of the incurred costs.

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENERGY

IN RE: Public Hearing for Fiscal Year 12 Low Income Weatherization Assistance Progi

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN ON BEHALF OF DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
APRIL 12, 2011
(Proceedings began at 1:05 p.m.)

I N D E X

	PAGE
Comments by Ms. Marcy Oerly	5
Comments by Mr. Dave Leyland	13
Comments by Mr. Terry Nevius	18
Reporter's Certificate	27

(No exhibits were marked.)

SIGNATURE INSTRUCTIONS: No signature required.
EXHIBIT INSTRUCTIONS: No exhibits marked.

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENERGY

IN RE: Public Hearing for Fiscal Year 12 Low Income Weatherization Assistance Progi

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Tuesday, April 12, 2011
1:05 p.m.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
1101 Riverside Drive
Jefferson City, MO 65101

REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR
Midwest Litigation Services
3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
Jefferson City, MO 65109
(573) 636-7551

A P P E A R A N C E S

For Department of Natural Resources:

Ms. Marcy Oerly and Mr. Joe Gassner
Missouri Department of Natural Resources
1101 Riverside Drive
Jefferson City, MO 65101
(573) 751-6630

Also Present:

Ms. Marilyn Miller, WCMCAA
Ms. Terri Henderson, GHCAA
Ms. Jami Lewis, CAPSTJOE
Mr. Dave Leyland, CAPSTJOE
Mr. Jason Manley, CAPSTJOE
Ms. Cari Gerlt, DNR/DE
Mr. Todd McVizker, DNR/DE
Mr. Mike Kilker, DNR/DE
Mr. Terry Sanders, OAI
Mr. Todd Steinmann, OACAC
Ms. Kathy Jeffries, DNR/DE
Mr. Terry Nevius (via telephone)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. GASSNER: We'll go ahead and get things started. Marcy will start things off. That's for the Weatherization Assistance Program for regular DOE funding for Fiscal Year 12.

MS. OERLY: Good afternoon. I'm Marcy Oerly and I am with the Missouri Department of Energy. I'm the Administrative Program Manager for Missouri's Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program. I'd like to welcome you to this public hearing regarding the State's application and funding of the Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program. We have copies of the State plan for your review, and it can also be found on the Division of Energy's website at www.dnr.mo.gov/energy, and we emphasize that this hearing is to discuss Missouri's State plan for the U.S. Department of Energy's Weatherization Assistance Program regular funding and not the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. Today's hearing will be held from 1 to 1:30 or until all comments are noted for public constraints, and oral comments will be limited to five minutes. Written comments will also be accepted until close of business today, April 12th, 2011.

After the hearing and review of all submitted comments, the Department will finalize the plan and submit it to the U.S. Department of Energy.

To begin the hearing, I plan to give a brief background concerning the Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program and then explain and/or clarify significant additions or policy clarifications.

I will then open the floor up for public comment. We ask that open discussion be held and that questions pertain only to this particular State plan.

The Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program, or WAP, funds are provided by DOE at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Division of Energy. The state-wide program is implemented at the local level by 16 Community Action Agencies, a unit of local government, and a not-for-profit organization.

The objectives of the WAP program are to increase energy efficiency of homes owned or occupied by low-income persons and reduce their total expenditures on energy while ensuring their health and safety. The program prioritizes services to the elderly, people with disabilities and families with children. Since 1978, weatherization has helped to weatherize more than 160,000 Missouri homes.

For eligible households, the WAP program provides cost-effective energy efficiency improvements including reducing air infiltration, increasing insulation in walls, attics, floors and foundations; heating system "clean and tunes," heating system replacement, minimal building envelope replacement and lighting retrofits. Only measures that are determined cost effective for low-income households.

When weatherizing a home, heating systems and gas appliances are tested for home hazardous carbon monoxide. Visual and diagnostic inspections help homes become safer in addition to being more efficient.

The program focuses on long-term reduction in utility bills and the comfort and safety of homes. For example, according to DOE figures, in 2010, weatherized homes nationally saved \$2.1 billion in energy costs for low-income families.

At current prices, home energy savings average \$437 each year. These savings accrue over the life of the measures; thus, allowing low-income households more affordable energy bills and makes it easier to afford food, medicine, transportation and other necessities.

WAP grants from DOE normally follow a five-year grant cycle. Fiscal Year 12 is the third year of the grant cycle. The first year of the grant cycle, Fiscal Year 10, DOE awarded Missouri \$4,987,445 in regular funding. In Fiscal Year 11, DOE awarded the State \$4,703,704 in regular funding.

Because Congress is currently operating under a Continuing Resolution, the actual amount of funding Missouri will be receiving for Fiscal Year 12 is uncertain at this time.

However, because the Fiscal Year 12 regular DOE application for State funding is due in late 2010 or 2011, DOE has instructed the State to operate under the assumption that Missouri will receive the amount of funding that was allocated in Fiscal Year 11, which was \$4,703,704.

The grant period for these funds will end on June 30th, 2012. However, that is only the end of the year DOE grant cycle. Due to the fact that Missouri's weatherization subgrantees have completed expending the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (or ARRA) funding by March 31st, subgrantees have requested to carry over their unspent regular DOE funding.

Every subgrantee that has requested to carry over more than 15 percent of their previous year's funding is required to submit a detailed plan on how the carry-over will help the agency continue to provide services while ramping down the ARRA funding.

The plan had to include when the agency estimates that their ARRA ramp-down will begin, the grant will be supplementing WAP services. It was also required to include an estimate of when the funding was to be fully expended and when the regular DOE grant would completely fund weatherization services.

The DNR/DE allocated the new Fiscal Year 12 WAP funding according to a distribution formula similar to previous years. The formula uses a base funding amount of \$50,000 per subgrantee with funding disbursed according to the low-income population in each local subgrantee service area.

The eighteen DNR/DE WAP subgrantees were asked to budget the new Fiscal Year 12 funding of \$4,703,704, along with their estimated carry-over, which totaled \$4,430,814, into their total budget for a total State allocation of \$9,134,518.

These funds are available to the subgrantees for the July 1, 2011, through June 30th, 2012. The DNR/DE estimated that approximately 1,746 homes will be weatherized using this WAP funding.

At this time, I would like to present, for the record, a list of the local, state-wide program allocations and estimated number of homes to be weatherized. And this information is available in the Annual File worksheet.

Other than the addition of the \$4,703,704 in new Fiscal Year 12 funding, along with the carry-over and the revision of the number of homes expected to be weatherized, the most significant clarifications made to the Annual File include the following:

On page 6 of 9 under Section II.8, Policy Advisory Council Members, this section was a membership list.

On page 7 of 9 under Section II.10, Adjustments to On-File Information, wording was added. A DNR/DE analysis indicates that the subgrantee expenditure and production rates are such that DNR/DE may recapture funds and redistribute them to other subgrantees and expenditure on schedule or exceeding scheduled performance.

Also, on page 7 of 9 under Section II.10, Adjustments to On-File Information, wording will utilize the \$6,572 average cost per home average as authorized by DOE in the Program Grant Guidance.

And the most significant additions or clarifications made to the Master File include the following:

On page 3 of 17 under Section III.3.3, Final Inspection, wording was added to clarify final inspection, no home may be reported to DOE as a completed unit until all invoices have been received by the subgrantee. Units with estimated expenses will not be reimbursed.

And then on pages 5 through 13 under Section III.4, Health and Safety, the Health and Safety section will comply with DOE's Weatherization Health and Safety Guidance that was received in January 2011.

And then finally on page 13 of 17 under Section III.5, Rental Procedures, the former waiver of the minimum 5 percent cash contribution for owners or landlords whose tenants are at or below the 200 percent poverty level was changed to state that if an owner or landlord's minimum cash contribution is due to the fact that their income level is at or below 200 percent of poverty level, that the owner or landlord must follow the same guidelines and provide the same type of income verification documentation required for the weatherization program.

In addition, DNR/DE will continue to set income guidelines at 200 percent of Federal poverty level with Federal Weatherization Assistance Program regulations.

That is the basic summary of the additions and clarifications to the Fiscal Year 12 Missouri State plan for federal funding of the Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program.

I'd like to note that Missouri's Weatherization Policy Advisory Council held a meeting on April 14th from ten to noon. And during that meeting, members reviewed and discussed the Fiscal Year 12 Missouri State plan. By unanimous vote, the Council approved the State plan and its submission to the U.S. Department of Energy.

This concludes the State's presentation of the Fiscal Year 12 Low Income Weatherization Assistance Program plan for regular DOE funding. The plan is now open for public comment.

Again, we ask that an open discussion be held until all comments have been noted and that this particular State plan, and, also, that oral comments be limited to a minimum of 15 minutes.

We also ask that if you do have a comment that you state your full name and affiliation. You may also submit a business card for our transcriber as well. So if anybody would like to make a comment, you're now welcome to present those.

MR. GASSNER: Come on up, Dave.

MR. LEYLAND: Pardon?

MR. GASSNER: Come on up. All right.

COMMENTS BY MR. DAVE LEYLAND

MR. LEYLAND: My name is Dave Leyland, L-e-y-l-a-n-d, Executive Director of Community Action Greater St. Joseph. First, I want to say thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony to the Community Action

Partnership of Greater St. Joseph. Community Action Partnership of Greater St. Joe is Missouri Department of Natural Resources grant CAPSTJOE the opportunity to operate the Assistance Program in the communities that we serve.

We would like to draw your attention to the language in the State plan that identifies Weatherization Program's subcontractors. Selection of Areas to be Served states that throughout the State of Missouri. Current service areas are based on the geographic Community Action Agencies.

The Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Energy administers Federal Community Action Agencies, one city government and one non-profit organization.

We are very pleased to have participated and be participating in the energized Missouri Program started in the fall of 2010. We've weatherized 54 homes up to this point and at this point in time, 104 percent of projected production.

We have four weatherization employees who have performed admirably throughout the initial contract and have continuously demonstrated a passion for quality work and service to

We have placed a strong emphasis on training and professional development for all of our employees and increased their capacity to perform quality work. We've also enlisted numerous partners who have come to rely on CAPSTJOE for their expertise in the administration of the Weatherization Program.

We believe that the opportunity to administer the -- the weatherization program will provide value to our clients and stimulate the local economy.

Through many years of cultivating strong relationships with the business community, CAPSTJOE has had a significant impact of the program to the people we serve and to the communities where we live. This is not only a key program to save energy and make homes safer, but as a key strategy to create jobs in our communities.

Community Action Partnership of Greater St. Joe serves the four-county area of Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties, and consisting primarily of rural communities and one metropolitan area.

Until 1990, Community Action Partnership was a successful subgrantee for weatherization. In 1990, CAPSTJOE entered an agreement with Community Services, Incorporated, allowing CSI to provide the weatherization Program for the Andrew, Buchanan, Clinton and DeKalb Counties.

This decision was based on reduced funding at the time and the difficulties of both -- CAPSTJOE and CSI were having in maintaining the cost-effective programs. I might add that EOC Action Partnership prior to 19 -- or 2002, I believe.

We have recently advised Community Services, Incorporated, that we wish to no longer renew the agreement that was signed in 1990. We understand this will take a transitional agreement, and with the assistance of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources will work with CAPSTJOE and Community Services, Incorporated, in creating a program that is beneficial to all entities and the communities that we serve.

CAPSTJOE has a proven track record of compliance with Federal contracts. Most recently, CAPSTJOE performed obligations on the Federal ARRA contracts from the Community Service Block Grant Program in Missouri Housing Initiative which CAPSTJOE focused on boosting energy savings and cutting utility costs for low-income families residing in St. Joseph, Missouri.

We have created four full-time positions in our agency along with two that were -- two part-time positions in a for-profit business in St. Joseph. This company also has four positions that they have retained on a year.

For nearly 45 years, Community Action Partnership has maintained superior results in State contracts. Our annual operating budget exceeds \$9 million, most of which comes comply with all regulatory requirements. Accountability -- and accountability is the do.

CAPSTJOE is designated by the City of St. Joe as the -- and the Missouri Housing Development Community Housing Development Organization. And we have created and maintained strong and County officials in the creation of rehabilitation of affordable housing stock in

We have a rich history of partnering with private and public resources to maximize the administer.

We also have considerable knowledge of local construction contractors and laborers in

We plan to enlist the support of all sectors of the community to rapidly implement weatherization in the counties that we serve.

So, therefore, we respectfully request that the Department of Natural Resources include Community Action Agencies providing weatherization in Missouri. Thank you.

MR. GASSNER: Okay. Thank you, Dave. Terry, did you want to go ahead and give your t
-

MS. OERLY: Your public comments.

MR. GASSNER: Comments. I'm sorry.

MR. NEVIUS: Oh, are you -- are you talking to me?

Ms. OERLY: Yes.

MR. GASSNER: Yes.

MR. NEVIUS: Okay. Yeah. I can hear you all fairly well. I have a -- can you hear r

MR. GASSNER: Yes.

COMMENTS BY MR. TERRY NEVIUS

MR. NEVIUS: Okay. I -- you know, I also would like to thank you for affording me the the telephone here. And now that I understand a little bit better about what the meet that I'm concerned about, I won't be discussing. And that has to do with low income people and they're treated, you know.

But anyway, No. 1, I -- I -- I -- understanding that this meeting is responsible for allocated funding that DOE has for us; is that correct?

MR. GASSNER: Yes.

MR. NEVIUS: Okay. Now, is anyone in the State of Missouri speaking, I guess, to our trying to block this at this time?

MR. GASSNER: Terry, this -- the reason for this is to actually have your comments. answer session.

MR. NEVIUS: Okay.

MR. GASSNER: I can talk to you over phone.

MR. NEVIUS: All right. Then I'll go on with some comments. I hope that -- that this funding to go ahead and come as -- you know, by the way that we are submitting it. I hope it's just not a -- a red tape thing or a paper shuffle deal. I hope I can assume that we can continue to help for weatherization.

The second thing is, it appears to me that the goal of the Weatherization Program here safety would be a No. 1 consideration and then reduce unnecessary energy consumption as "as soon as possible" is a key -- the key four words.

So in thinking of as soon as possible, we have a six-month back-log here in Boone County that -- that it could be a year, for me really not to bother anybody for up to a year.

Actually, I qualify for assistance. That's what's -- part of what has me interested is that there are people a lot worse off than what I am that I hope that I'm speaking

We -- we are being told that it looks like it's going to be six months, and that's if there's an accumulation. Otherwise, don't really call us for a year. And I don't understand how that information would be put out.

The second thing is, what is the point system? I have 12 points. And that is -- I've got a number, which that's an interesting point assignment statement. And the point -- the point I guess it could just float and I never would come to the top because there would always be in need of -- of weatherization, which is fine. I mean, if that's the way the program works.

But when you sign somebody up for it and say, Well, somebody could jump up in front of you could -- you never do get -- you never do come to the top. So that's -- that's another problem is the point system and why -- and how well it's really working.

The other thing is we have -- not the other thing, but we have several -- we have what we call a General Inspector -- or General Inspector. Excuse me. We have a General Inspector, I assume, approved by this VITA (ph.) I'm reading about or something like that that I've never before ever heard of, and, again, that's some organization that was created to certify people

Well, if we're -- if we're concerned about reducing unnecessary energy consumption as people that are very specialized and very capable of checking for insulation in the attics, walls, foundation and floor insulation and duct insulation.

There's people that specialize in that business all over the State of Missouri that are in that business every day. They could immediately go into these homes and do that part of the work that goes for energy reduction, too.

The -- the next one is we do have I don't know how many hundreds or thousands of heat loss specialists throughout the State of Missouri. They can be going in -- I'm trying to get that back-log or don't bother us for a year program.

You know, let's use the money. Let's use it effectively. Let's get two things accomplished, and that's home safety and reduction of unnecessary energy reduction. Let's use the specialists.

We can hit and hit hard the other points. In other words, if there's a bad gas leak or something about it now, not six months from now or not a call that maybe it will never happen for about that now.

And this is for low income people I'm talking about. Low income people. I hope I've got about a specialist inspection -- inspector that can hit right away and other people find them there and maybe eliminate these things point by point as opposed to waiting six months before they get around to coming out there.

Not everybody is -- I understand not everybody can be licensed or takes the time to be General Inspector. No one can tell me that a General Inspector knows more about heat: than, for instance, my father who has done it for 55 years. I don't think -- I don't anybody to get better than his 55 years. But let's throw him out of the picture becau

My one last thing is I think the low income for -- probably is based, like other low : State of Missouri concerning Medicaid and -- concerning Medicaid and food stamps, et c about this.

But if -- if we're using the same low income formula, we are saying that -- that natio say, 1500. Let's say Missouri says that we're only four-fifths of a man mere in this ahead and recalculate the national poverty level for, let's say, \$1500 down to four-f: and that's what we say the poverty level is.

Well, I don't think that's right, you know. It's the national average. It's not an a average for Missouri. It's a national average. So that's a -- that's a Congressman

But I just wanted to point out that low income formula, if we're using that same low : that's shameful. How can we just be four-fifths of a person here in the State of Miss: the nation? I don't understand that.

I guess that's about it. And I -- again, I appreciate being able to comment without : love to serve on any kind of panel or if there's anybody listening to this right now t dedicate and give some free help in order to help to -- to do away with this six-month offer my assistance. So that being said, I hope I didn't waste anybody's time here.

MR. GASSNER: Thank you, Terry.

MR. NEVIUS: Okay. I'm going to listen to the rest of the meeting, if you don't mind.

MR. GASSNER: That's fine. Okay. Anybody else have any comments they want to make? request for additional comments. Are you sure?

MR. NEVIUS: Let me -- can I say one more thing?

MR. GASSNER: Sure.

MR. NEVIUS: Some of the questions that I'm sure, you know, I have after this meeting

MR. GASSNER: We -- we have your phone number. We will contact you with more informat information on the point system that you were referring to. It is in the -- it's on c should be able to have access to. You can -

MR. NEVIUS: Okay.

MR. GASSNER: You can get a lot of information on our Weatherization Assistance Progra

MR. NEVIUS: Okay. All right. Yeah. That -- that's fine. But, I mean, do you have recommendation of where I -- where I could ask some questions about are we that -- are home safety to get someone out there without waiting six months, and are we really int unnecessary energy consumption without waiting six months? Those two things kind of read your -- your -- you know, your one file or your worksheet here on the State plan.

Since I read the State plan here, I would think those two things would be very, very - over anything.

MR. GASSNER: Those --

MR. NEVIUS: You know, I'm not used to this, people. So I don't -- I don't have the p anything. But I just want you to know it's heartfelt, and I'm not doing this because

Henry Warren, PSC, said there should be a mechanism for bringing an idea to the council, ideas of only those in its membership. He suggested social media (e.g. a bulletin board) to some sort of mechanism for interaction. Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, said DNR would look to put something on the state website.

Andrea said that Terry Sanders, OACAC (acting Chairman), should feel free to send out an order to get ideas and concurrence on issues to present to the Division of Energy. Todd Ste should coordinate the next PAC meeting with the next EHPA meeting (June 15, 2011). He : Keep Missourians Warm meeting is the 16th of June.

5. Revision of PAC By-Laws/Terms

The PAC by-laws have raised questions by Division of Energy staff, as well as, PAC members. Laws should be reviewed and clarified. The PAC will be looking at other states to see some structure. 440.17 must be in the bi-laws, but other areas can be revised. Terry Sanders, OACAC, has 9, 10, or 11 members and has worked well with a group that size.

6. Review/Acceptance of the FY2012 Regular DOE State Plan

Marcy Oerly, DNR/DE, presented information about the FY2012 Regular DOE state plan:

WAP grants from DOE normally follow a five-year grant cycle. FY 2012 is the third year of the first year of the grant cycle, FY 2010, DOE awarded Missouri \$6,578,656 in supplemental and regular funding. In FY 2011 DOE awarded the state \$4,703,704 in regular funding.

Because Congress is currently operating under a Continuing Resolution, the actual amount Missouri will be receiving for FY 2012 is uncertain at this time. However, because the FY 2012 for state funding is due to DOE on May 1, 2011, DOE has instructed the state to operate as if Missouri will be receiving the same amount of funding that was allocated in FY 2011, \$4,703,704.

The grant period for these funds will end on June 30, 2012, however that is only the third year of the grant cycle. Due to the fact that Missouri's weatherization subgrantees have concentrated their energy on the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funding by March 31, 2012 many of the subgrantees will have to carryover their unspent regular DOE funding. Every subgrantee that has requested to carryover more than 25 percent of their previous grant amount were required to submit a detailed plan on how they will continue weatherization services while ramping down the ARRA funding. The plan had to include estimates that their ARRA ramp down will begin and when their regular DOE grant will begin to fund weatherization services. It was also required to include an estimated date when the ARRA grant was to be completely replaced by regular DOE grant would completely fund weatherization services.

Shawn Green, DOE, said to use last year's numbers for now. Many have requested to carryover their regular DOE funding, in coordination with the end of ARRA, March 31, 2012. This DOE funding will be amended later if the award changes.

Other than the addition of the \$4,703,704 in new fiscal year 2012 funding, along with the \$4 carryover, and the revision of the number of homes expected to be weatherized, the most significant additions/clarifications made to the Annual File include the following:

- On page 6 of 9 under Section II.8, Policy Advisory Council Members, this section was updated with a membership list.
- On page 7 of 9 under Section II.10, Adjustments to On-File Information, wording was added. DNR/DE analysis indicates that subgrantee expenditure and production rates are substantially below production that DNR/DE may recapture funds and redistribute them to other subgrantees with expenditure on schedule or exceeding scheduled performance.
- Also on page 7 of 9 under Section II.10, Adjustments to On-File Information, wording was added. DNR/DE will utilize the \$6,572 average cost per home average as authorized by DOE in their PY 2011 Weatherization Guidance.

The most significant additions/clarifications made to the Master File include the following:

- On page 3 of 17 under Section III.3.3, Final Inspection, wording was added to clarify that no home may be reported to DOE as a completed unit until all invoices associated with the inspection received by the subgrantee. Units with estimated expenses will not be reimbursed.
- On pages 5-13 under Section III.4, Health and Safety, the Health and Safety Plan was updated to reflect DOE's Weatherization Health and Safety Guidance that was received in January 2011.
- On page 13 of 17 under Section III.5, Rental Procedures, the former affidavit requirement that a minimum five percent cash contribution for owner/landlords whose annual taxable income is at or below 100 percent of poverty level was changed to state that if an owner/landlord's minimum cash contribution is at or below 200 percent of poverty level, then they need not provide the same type of income verification documentation required by individuals applying for the program.

Todd McVicker, DNR/DE, gave a summary of the technical changes to the Health and Safety Plan.

Dave Leyland, CAPSTJOE, stated that he will be testifying at the public hearing this afternoon. CAPSTJOE will be back in the weatherization program. They entered an agreement with CSI in 1990 stating that they would provide weatherization service area, since it wasn't financially feasible for them to remain in the weatherization program. CAPSTJOE recently announced that they no longer wish to take part in the agreement, and would like to re-enter the program as a subgrantee.

Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, responded that DNR is having their attorney's look at the agreement with CAPSTJOE. Andrea stated that in order to include CAPSTJOE in the Regular DOE State Fiscal Year RFP. At this point in time, there is not enough time to initiate and complete the RFP process. She stated that this should not be construed to mean that a future RFP is impossible. Dave stated that CAPSTJOE's concerns are based on the location of the CAA's. They want to revisit the possibility. Todd Steinman responded that it is a viable opportunity now (compared to 1990) to come back into the program. Dave responded that he suggested having a one-on-one conversation in the future with CAPSTJOE.

Terry Sanders, OAI, opened a discussion about seeing data from MoWAP, to compare it with the current state plan document. Marcy Oerly, DNR/DE, and Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, responded that they would look into it.

to obtaining these reports on the data from the MoWAP system. Andrea assured the council with this.

Joe Gassner, DNR/DE, asked for any more questions on state plan. No comments were received.

Terry Sanders, OAI, asked for someone to make a motion. Todd Steinmann, OACAC, moved. Levetzow, Missouri Gas Energy, seconded it.

The PAC voted unanimously for the approval and submission of this plan to DOE.

7. Review/Update of the ARRA Production and Expenditure and Reallocation Process

Joe Gassner, DNR/DE, provided an overview of what DNR/DE uses to evaluate performance of the weatherization program. Joe demonstrated how he uses the expenditures and production spreadsheet. During a monitoring visit, the main thing DNR/DE is looking at is production and expenditures. Regarding agency met benchmarks on production and expenditures upon review this past December/January were recaptured. In order to fully utilize the ARRA funding, monies were redistributed to those agencies with the ability to spend the money and recaptured from those that were struggling to spend the money left in the ARRA grant. The recapture and redistributing of funds will help make all weatherization programs successful.

Todd Steinmann, OACAC, asked if DOE approved and finalized the ARRA grant amendment. DNR/DE, said it has been submitted to DOE and will call Shawn Green, DOE, to get an update. Todd Steinmann, OACAC, asked what the preliminary March numbers are looking like. Joe Gassner said that they looked pretty good, and that overall numbers have continued to increase these last few months. There are still some projects struggling to meet expectations, and further discussions on future reallocation.

Terry Sanders, OAI, asked if DNR/DE will be using new budget numbers or old budget numbers in the future. Marcy Oerly, DNR/DE, said that DNR will still have to use the old numbers until the new budget is approved. She said that DNR approves the new funding allocations.

Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, provided an overview of Federal and State legislative affairs regarding the weatherization program. DNR is currently going through a re-appropriations process in the future. She had to estimate a dollar amount months ago of what may be needed in Energy in order to carry out the Recovery Act dollars in FY 2012 (all Energy programs). Recently there is talk of decreasing the budget, possibly sending back some of the Recovery Act money to the Federal Government. One of the agencies in St. Louis met this past weekend and could discuss the benefits of the weatherization program with these benefits to the state's citizens to political peers. Andrea suggested to the PAC members that they reach out to their representatives and explain important facts regarding the immense benefits of the weatherization program.

Terry Sanders, OAI, asked for quarterly report (1512) compiled data. Terry said his agency is currently developing talking points. OAI could use data such as the number of jobs created or retained. Terry pointed out that creating a job is one thing, but the primary purpose of weatherization is to save money. He said that your utilities contact elected officials (saying customers are able to pay bills better, etc.), it is important that the program is doing what it is supposed to do.

Terry Sanders, OAI, put data together with their March 2011 numbers. Forty-five homes were weatherized. He estimated that the weatherization services performed on the homes will save those families about \$40,000 over the next 12 months. Henry Warren, PSC, pointed out that with the 200% poverty level there is a lot of need in the past. He encouraged weatherization agencies to work with the utilities to get this information out there. He let them know that under the current guidelines, a family of four can be earning about \$40,000 a year with weatherization assistance. Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, agreed that those are some good numbers. She said that DNR will see what information DNR can collectively send out as a state.

Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, encouraged PAC members to contact Federal representatives going on in the state level and what it would do for their constituency.

Joe Gassner, DNR/DE, asked for any additional discussion on this issue or any other issue between now and May, if a different amount of regular DOE funding is known, does an agency said it would be up to DOE. Joe said that in a recent conversation with Shawn Green, DOE wouldn't need another public hearing. They could just amend the state plan. Terry asked if call, if discussion was needed about this. Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, stated that DNR is looking like at this point.

Todd Steinmann, OACAC, began a discussion with the PAC about the LIHEAP program. Andrea Kliethermes, DNR/DE, suggested the PAC put it on the agenda for the next meeting as an example of why we need a broad PAC membership. Todd suggested to take a look at what data we have and make an analysis with that data. Henry Warren, PSC, suggested Utilicare funding for weatherization. Terry agreed that it would be a very worthwhile discussion to have. Andrea asked if there were any other comments were given.

Terry Sanders, OAI, asked for a motion to adjourn. Todd Steinmann, OACAC, moved to adjourn.

[Weatherization & Intergovernmental Program Home](#) | [EERE Home](#) | [U.S. Department of Energy Home](#)
[Webmaster](#) | [Web Site Policies](#) | [Security & Privacy](#) | [USA.gov](#)